UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,415	08/05/2003	Ryan J. Highland	03-767	7943
	7590 05/11/200 NG TECHNOLOGIES	EXAMINER		
300 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	KANERVO, VIRPI H		
SUITE 3200 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,415	HIGHLAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VIRPI H. KANERVO	3691
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 28-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

 Claims 28-39 are presented for examination. Applicant filed a request for continued examination ('RCE') on 03/01/2009 and canceling claims 1-27; and adding new claims 28-39. Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's arguments directed to the Smith (2002/0130868 A1) reference, but finds them not persuasive.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues that Smith reference does not teach "an annotation price level and annotation information specified by a user," nor "displaying the annotation information along the price axis at the annotation price level." Examiner disagrees.

Smith specifically teaches: "the analyst has the additional functionality ... to annotate the financial data through the screen in Fig. 10. The annotation may be incorporated into the chart or grid on the screen ... using graphic user interface (GUI) functions ... such as the drawing window in Fig. 10 or the text input window ... in Fig. 11" (Smith: page 10, ¶ 127). The drawing window of Fig.

10 discloses a price level. This price level is the cursor price, and it is specified by the user because the user decides at what level the cursor resides. Smith further teaches: "means may be provided for allowing analysts to dynamically enter comments and recommendations as text for view in the graphic presentation of financial data" (Smith: page 1, ¶ 12). The text input window of Fig. 11 discloses the means for user to enter the annotation, the user here is the analyst, and the user thus specifies the annotation information. Thus, Smith discloses

Smith also teaches: "the analyst may select the point of annotation as being on the axis of the graph/chart, for example ... price, volume, rate, and/or ratio indices on the vertical axis, as shown in Fig. 10" (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129). Thus, Smith discloses that the annotation is displayed along the price axis. Smith further teaches: "analyst-inputted textual annotations associated with selected financial data and/or a specified axis point on the chart" (Smith: page 10, ¶ 131). Thus, Smith discloses that the textual annotations can be associated both with selected financial data <u>and</u> specified axis point.

Therefore, Smith discloses both "an annotation price level and annotation information specified by a user" and "displaying the annotation information along the price axis at the annotation price level."

Application/Control Number: 10/634,415 Page 4

Art Unit: 3691

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in \S 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 28-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ram (2003/0004853 A1) in view of Smith (2002/0130868 A1).

As to claims 28 and 39, Ram shows displaying via a computing device a price

axis, wherein the price axis includes a plurality of price levels for a tradeable

object (Ram: Fig. 10, label 102); displaying via the computing device market data

related to the tradeable object, wherein the market data is displayed along the

price axis, wherein the market data includes bid and ask quantities for the

tradeable object (Ram: Fig. 3, labels 200 and 305); and displaying via the

computing device a plurality of order entry regions, wherein each order entry

region is associated with a price level of the plurality of price levels, where each

order entry region is adapted to receive a command to place an order for the

tradeable object at the corresponding price level (Ram: Fig. 3, labels 200 and

305).

Ram does not show receiving via the computing device a definition for an annotation, wherein the definition includes an annotation price level and annotation information specified by a user, wherein the annotation price level includes at least one price level of the tradeable object; and displaying via the computing device the annotation by displaying the annotation information along the price axis at the annotation price level. Smith shows receiving via the computing device a definition for an annotation, wherein the definition includes an annotation price level (Smith: page 10, ¶ 127; and Fig. 10, "the drawing window") and annotation information specified by a user (Smith: page 1, ¶ 12), wherein the annotation price level includes at least one price level of the tradeable object (Smith: page 10, ¶ 127; and Fig. 10, "the drawing window"); and displaying via the computing device the annotation by displaying the annotation information along the price axis at the annotation price level (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129 and ¶ 131). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method and the system of Ram by receiving via the computing device a definition for an annotation, wherein the definition includes an annotation price level and annotation information specified by a user, wherein the annotation price level includes at least one price level of the tradeable object; and displaying via the computing device the annotation by displaying the annotation information along the price axis at the annotation price level of Smith in order to permit an analyst to select any point at or substantially

adjacent to a financial data point for entering the commentary (Smith: page 10, \P

Page 6

129).

As to claim 29, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 28. Ram

does not show that the definition includes an event associated with the tradeable

object. Smith shows that the definition includes an event associated with the

tradeable object (Smith: Fig. 11, "the text input window"). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have

modified the method of Ram by the definition including an event associated with

the tradeable object of Smith in order to permit an analyst to select any point at

or substantially adjacent to a financial data point for entering the commentary

(Smith: page 10, ¶ 129).

As to claim 30, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 29. Ram

also shows that the event comprises a market event (Ram: page 2, ¶ 25 and ¶

27).

As to claim 31, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 30. Ram

also shows that the market event is associated with historical data (Ram: page 3,

¶ 56).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,415 Page 7

Art Unit: 3691

As to claim 32, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 29. Ram

also shows detecting via the computing device an occurrence of the event at a

second price level (Ram: page 2, ¶ 25); and dynamically updating the display of

the annotation to display the annotation information along the price axis at the

second price level (Ram: page 2, ¶ 25).

As to claim 33, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 29. Ram

also shows detecting via the computing device an occurrence of the event

associated with a second price level (Ram: page 2, ¶ 25).

Ram does not show displaying via the computing device the annotation by

also displaying the annotation information at the second price level. Smith shows

displaying via the computing device the annotation by also displaying the

annotation information at the second price level (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129). It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

have modified the method of Ram by displaying via the computing device the

annotation by also displaying the annotation information at the second price level

of Smith in order to permit an analyst to select any point at financial data point for

entering the commentary (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,415 Page 8

Art Unit: 3691

As to claim 34, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 28. Ram

does not show that the annotation information includes a graphical indicator.

Smith shows that the annotation information includes a graphical indicator

(Smith: page 10, ¶ 127; and Fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method of

Ram by the annotation information including a graphical indicator of Smith in

order to permit an analyst to select any point at financial data point for entering

the commentary (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129).

As to claim 35, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 34. Ram

does not show that the graphical indicator further includes a text message

corresponding to an event. Smith shows that the graphical indicator further

includes a text message corresponding to an event (Smith: page 10, ¶ 127; and

Fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

of the invention to have modified the method of Ram by the graphical indicator

further including a text message corresponding to an event of Smith in order to

permit an analyst to select any point at financial data point for entering the

commentary (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129).

As to claim 36, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 28. Ram

also shows that the annotation price level is determined based on a second

tradeable object (Ram: page 2, ¶ 25).

As to claim 37, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 28. Ram also shows displaying via the computing device a working order indicator, wherein the working order indicator corresponds to an order placed by the user for the tradeable object at a price level, wherein the working order indicator is displayed along the price axis at the corresponding price level (Ram: Fig. 3, labels 200 and 305).

As to claim 38, Ram in view of Smith shows all the elements of claim 28. Ram also shows displaying via the computing device a consolidated price interface, wherein the consolidated price interface represents a second plurality of price levels (Ram: page 2, ¶ 25).

Ram does not show that the second plurality of price levels is not within the plurality of price levels of the price axis, wherein a second annotation price level for a second annotation is within the second plurality of price levels; and displaying via the computing device second annotation information for the second annotation along the consolidated price interface at a location corresponding to the second annotation price level. Smith shows that the second plurality of price levels is not within the plurality of price levels of the price axis, wherein a second annotation price level for a second annotation is within the second plurality of price levels (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129); and displaying via the computing device second annotation information for the second annotation along

the consolidated price interface at a location corresponding to the second annotation price level (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129 and ¶ 131; and Fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the method of Ram by the second plurality of price levels being not within the plurality of price levels of the price axis, wherein a second annotation price level for a second annotation is within the second plurality of price levels; and displaying via the computing device second annotation information for the second annotation along the consolidated price interface at a location corresponding to the second annotation price level of Smith in order to permit an analyst to select any point at financial data point for entering the

Conclusion

commentary (Smith: page 10, ¶ 129).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Escher (2003/0110124 A1) discloses method for providing a financial event identification service.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VIRPI H. KANERVO whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/634,415 Page 11

Art Unit: 3691

571-272-9818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday,

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be

reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Virpi H. Kanervo

/Alexander Kalinowski/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691